HON'BLE SHRI G.S.SINGHVI, THE CHIEF JUSTICE

WRIT PETITION No.23745 OF 2000

Between:

G.Reddaiah

. . .Petitioner

AND

Government of Andhra Pradesh and another

. . .Respondents

:: ORDER ::

Counsel for the petitioner : Shri P.Chakravarthy
for Shri O.Manoher Reddy

Dated: 4th July, 2007

In this petition, the petitioner has prayed for quashing telegraphic order dated 29.11.2000 vide which Supreintendent, Sri Venkateswara Ramanarayana Ruya Government General Hospital, Tirupati cancelled the manpower contract awarded to him in furtherance of tender notice dated 27.3.2000.

At the commencement of hearing, Shri P.Chakravarthy appearing for Shri O.Manoher Reddy made a statement that the writ petition has become infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such. Ordered accordingly.

The disposal of the writ petition in the manner indicated above will certainly increase the tally of total number of cases disposed of by this Court, but I cannot resist the temptation of making a critical observation about the misuse of the process of the Court by the litigants, private as well as public. A perusal of the record shows that the contract awarded to the petitioner was only for a period of six months. The cancellation was done by the Superintendent of hospital on 21.11.2000. By order, dated 29.01.2001 this Court stayed the operation of telegram, dated 29.11.2000 pending further orders on the application. For next six years and almost six months, the application filed by the petitioner for interim relief did not see the light of the day and it is quite possible that in the guise of the interim order, the petitioner must have supplied manpower to the institution for all those years, despite the fact that the term of the contract was only six months. The respondents have been mercifully negligent inasmuch as they

have neither filed counter-affidavit nor any effort was made in last six years and six months even to make a request for taking up WPMP No.30341 of 2000. Perhaps, we have reached the stage where everyone has become insensitive. Therefore, I leave the matter there.

G.S.SINGHVI, CJ

4th July, 2007

kvni